	e 2:17-cv-06829-SIL Document 19 Filed 03/	06/18 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 69
FILE IN CLERK'S C U.S. DISTRICT CO	2018 \star RULE 26(f) MEETING R	REPORT
, T		SO ORDERED
LONG ISLAN	NAME: Mageno v. M.A.C. Cosmetics, Inc.	by Magistrate Judge Steven I. Locke
DOCK	ET NO.: 2:17-cv-006829	/s/ STEVEN I. LOCKE
	he parties met and conferred?Date?	5/2018
Date the Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures were exchanged? No will do so on 3/6/18		
	Proposed Discovery	<u>Plan</u>
1.	Deadline for parties to provide properly executed	authorizations/releases: n/a
2.	Deadline to join new parties or amend the pleading	ngs:
3.	3. Should any changes be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or by local rule? Yes No x_	
4.	Deadline to file any protective order: November 15	
5.		November 15, 2018
6.	•	ccessary, by:
7.		request by: ²
8.	Should the Court hold an early settlement confer-	
9.	Have the parties agreed to a plan regarding electronic	ronic discovery? ⁴ Yes <u>x</u> No
10). Do the parties consent to trial before a magistrate (Answer no if any party declines to consent with Yes X No	e judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636? ⁵ out indicating which party has declined)

early settlement conference, or other form of alternative dispute resolution, is appropriate in this case and be prepared to explain their reasons to the Court.

¹ If yes, the parties should be prepared to address the proposed changes at the initial conference.

² The parties are reminded to comply with the individual rules of the presiding district court judge.

³ Prior to the initial conference, counsel shall discuss with their clients and their adversaries whether an

⁴ If yes, the parties shall file a letter detailing the parties' proposed plan regarding electronic discovery.

The fillable consent form AO 85 is available at https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/forms/all-forms/general_forms and must be signed by all parties and filed electronically.